

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 JUSTIN WALKER,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 RIVERA, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17

No. 2:23-cv-02015-KJM-EFB (PC)

ORDER

18 Plaintiff, a county jail inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking
19 relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as
20 provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

21 On July 23, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were
22 served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and
23 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to the
24 findings and recommendations.


25 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and
26 recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to
27 keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Under Local Rule 182(f), service of
28 documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this
2 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the
3 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations filed July 23, 2024 (ECF No. 55), are adopted in
6 full;
7 2. The complaint is dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim;
8 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case; and
9 4. The court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.

10 DATED: September 9, 2024.

11 
12 _____
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28